

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RASAUN JOHNSON.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01540-RFB-CWH

V.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN
DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 7] of the Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered March 7, 2019.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by March 21, 2019. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

• • •

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Amended Report and Recommendation [ECF
2 No. 7] is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Johnson's case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4 The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

5 DATED: April 11, 2019.
6

7 
8

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28